THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA CHARLOTTE DIVISION CIVIL CASE NO. 3:17-cv-00207-MR

ROSSE MARY MARTINEZ,)
Plaintiff,))
vs.)) <u>ORDER</u>
ANDREW SAUL, Commissioner of Social Security,)))
Defendant.)))

THIS MATTER is before the Court on the Plaintiff's Motion for Attorney's Fees under the Social Security Act [Doc. 15].

I. PROCEDURAL BACKGROUND

On April 18, 2017, the Plaintiff initiated this action seeking judicial review of the Commissioner's decision to deny her application for benefits under the Social Security Act. [Doc. 1]. On October 30, 2017, this Court reversed the Commissioner's decision denying the Plaintiff's application for benefits and remanded the case to the Appeals Council for further administrative action. [Docs. 9, 10]. On January 26, 2018, the Court awarded the Plaintiff attorney's fees in the amount of \$5,000.00 in full

satisfaction of any and all claims by the Plaintiff pursuant to the Equal Access to Justice Act, 28 U.S.C. § 2412(d) (EAJA). [Doc. 14].

On December 4, 2018, the Commissioner issued a Notice of Award awarding past due benefits in the amount of \$93,045.00 to the Plaintiff. [See Doc. 16-1]. The Commissioner further advised the Plaintiff that part of these past due benefits was being withheld to pay any award of attorney's fees. [Id.]. The Plaintiff and his attorney had a contingency fee agreement pursuant to which any attorney's fee award could not exceed 25% of the past due benefits. [Doc. 16-3].

The Plaintiff's counsel now seeks an award of \$19,083.55 in fees pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 406(b)(1), with the additional stipulation that upon receipt of such payment, the Plaintiff's counsel will refund to the Plaintiff the \$5,000.00 previously awarded under the EAJA. [See Doc. 15]. The Defendant does not object to the Plaintiff's fee request. [Id. at 1].

II. DISCUSSION

There are two avenues by which a Social Security benefits claimant may be awarded attorney's fees. First, a claimant may seek a fee award under the EAJA, which provides that "a court shall award to a prevailing party other than the United States fees and other expenses . . . incurred by that

party in any civil action (other than cases sounding in tort), including proceedings for judicial review of agency action, brought by or against the United States in any court having jurisdiction of that action...." 28 U.S.C. § 2412(d)(1)(A). Second, a claimant may seek an award pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 406(b), which provides that "[w]henever a court renders a judgment favorable to a claimant ... who was represented before the court by an attorney, the court may determine and allow as part of its judgment a reasonable fee for such representation, not in excess of 25 percent of the total of the past-due benefits to which the claimant is entitled by reason of such judgment...." 42 U.S.C. § 406(b)(1)(A).

While attorney's fees may be awarded under both the EAJA and § 406(b), the Social Security Act requires that the attorney must refund to the claimant the smaller fee. "Thus, an EAJA award offsets an award under Section 406(b), so that the amount of the total past-due benefits the claimant actually receives will be increased by the EAJA award up to the point the claimant receives 100 percent of the past-due benefits." Stephens ex rel. R.E. v. Astrue, 565 F.3d 131, 134-35 (4th Cir. 2009) (quoting Gisbrecht v. Barnhart, 535 U.S. 789, 796 (2002)).

Here, the Plaintiff and his counsel entered into a contingency fee agreement by which the Plaintiff agreed to pay 25% of any past due benefits awarded to his counsel. As the Fourth Circuit has recognized, "§ 406(b) was designed to control, not to displace, fee agreements between Social Security benefits claimants and their counsel. As long as the agreement does not call for a fee above the statutory ceiling of twenty-five percent of awarded past-due benefits, . . . § 406(b) simply instructs a court to review the agreement for reasonableness." Mudd v. Barnhart, 418 F.3d 424, 428 (4th Cir. 2005) (citation and internal quotation marks omitted).

The Court finds that the services rendered in this Court were appropriate and reasonable to the relief sought, and that the contingency fee agreement executed by the Plaintiff and his counsel is reasonable. Accordingly, the Plaintiff's Motion for Attorney Fees is granted.

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED that the Plaintiff's Motion for Attorney's Fees [Doc. 15] is hereby **GRANTED**, and an award of attorney's fees in the amount of Nineteen Thousand Eighty-Three Dollars and Fifty-Five Cents (\$19,083.55) pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 406(b)(1)(A) is hereby approved.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that upon receipt of the § 406(b) fees, Plaintiff's counsel is hereby instructed to return to the Plaintiff the sum of \$5,000.00, representing the fee that counsel previously received pursuant to the Equal Access to Justice Act, 28 U.S.C. § 2412(d).

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that a copy of this Order be provided to the Social Security Administration in order to effectuate payment of the award from past due benefits which have been withheld for such purpose.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Signed: January 14, 2021

Martin Reidinger

Chief United States District Judge